Jun. 17 2004 11:12AM P6

Jun. 28. 2000 1: 37PM CHINI SCRIPT SERVICES

Proceedings

3

5

6

9 10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

32

33

35

36

37

39

40

42

43

44

45

46

cannot find the owner immediately, and inform them of the discress, then you can go ahead and apply for your warrant. It is implied in that, in my view, that under sub-Section (a), you must make an attempt to contact the owner and advise them of the enimal's distress and it is only then, that if they do not; that is, the owner or person responsible for the animal, does not properly take staps that will relieve its distress, that you could then go on and apply for a warrant. The officers did not do that. The Act clearly does not give the officers the right to go out and apply for warrants to seize any animals they happen to find in distress, wherever they may be. Their first obligation is to try and find the owners, to try and relieve the distress of the animal through the owner, and only if that does not work or if they cannot find the owner do they then have the right to go and apply for a search warrant. There is a missing step here. The officers clearly knew who the owner was, made no attempt to contact her, although had they had the ability to contact her and her phone number.

In those circumstances, in my view, the search warrant was improperly issued. It should not have been authorized and, in my view, would not have been authorized had the Information to Obtain contained all of the information.

It is an insufficient answer that the officers say, "Well, we knew this lady from a previous incident involving a similar circumstance of not properly feeding horses." They would have to, in order to use that as a reason for cetting a search warrant, they would have to be in a position to say, "Because of the history with this particular person and because she never responds to us or she doesn't properly follow instructions, that because of that past conduct, we don't believe it is appropriate to contact her new," or something like that. And if they could convince the Justice of the Peace that it was not necessary to give her an opportunity to solve the problem, then they could perhaps get a warrant. But you cannot just leave all that cut and go and get the warrant on the basis merely that the animals are in distress.